



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

**Legislative Analysis**

**Regional Transportation Committee**

Thursday, July 13, 2006

9:30 AM

Commission Chamber

Charles Anderson, CPA  
Commission Auditor

111 NW First Street, Suite 1030  
Miami, Florida 33128  
305-375-4354

**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
1(E)1	Co-designation of the Omni Metro mover station as the “Omni-Performing Arts Center” Metromover Station  (Commissioner Audrey M. Edmonson)	<b>District 3</b>  The Omni Metromover Station is centrally located in the area of downtown Miami which is undergoing revitalization.  A central project in this revitalization is the construction of the Miami-Dade Performing Arts Center (PAC) as well as the eventual construction of the Museum Park at Bicentennial Park.	The will be a minimal cost of signage and literature changes associated with the renaming of the Metromover Station	TG
2(A)	Creation of the “East-West Corridor Citizens And Business Advisory Committee (CBAC)”  (Commissioner Carlos A. Gimenez)	<b>Districts 6, 10, 11, &amp; 12</b>  The East-West Corridor is a 10.1 mile proposed transit corridor extending from the site of the future Miami Intermodal Center (MIC), east of Miami International Airport (MIA) extending west to Florida International University (FIU).  This project is part of the proposed Orange Line extension of the Metrorail contained in the Peoples’ Transportation Plan (PTP).  Current Estimate: \$1.4 Billion  <u>Other Citizens Advisory Committees</u>  The <b>North Corridor Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)</b> was established in 1994. This CAC was supported by the consultants hired to oversee the initial environmental and planning aspects of the North Corridor Project. The CAC included members of local homeowners associations, business associations, etc...  The <b>South Link CAC</b> was developed to gather input from the	This resolution creates a “Citizens and Business Advisory Committee”.  The Federal approval process for major transportation projects requires forums for public input for these projects.  These public forums help the Municipal, County, State, and Federal Governments gain public opinion as to the need for the project, the Locally Preferred Route for the project, and the preferred mode of transportation.  Membership of the CBAC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A Minimum of 14 and a Maximum of 22 members <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 2 members appointed by each of the following districts: 6, 10, 11, &amp; 12.</li> <li>○ 2 members appointed by the Board of County Commissioners as a whole.</li> <li>○ 2 members appointed by the Mayor of Miami-Dade County.</li> <li>○ 1 members appointed by the Mayor of the City of Miami.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	TG



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
		community affected by the proposed South Corridor project from the Dadeland South Metrorail Station to Florida City. The South Link CAC is comprised of members from the Village of Pinecrest, Village of Palmetto Bay, the cities of Homestead and Florida City, as well as members appointed by the County Commissioners who's districts are affected by the project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o 1 members appointed by the Mayor of the City of Sweetwater.</li> <li>o 1 members appointed by the Mayor of the City of Doral.</li> <li>o 2 members appointed by the MPO's Citizens' Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC).</li> <li>o 2 members appointed by the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT).</li> <li>o 1 member appointed by the Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce.</li> <li>o 1 member appointed by the Airport West Chamber of Commerce.</li> <li>o 1 member appointed by the Miami-Dade Chamber of Commerce.</li> </ul> <p>The CBAC is tasked with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitoring and reviewing the implementation of the project.</li> <li>• Seeking input from the public and private sectors for the development of the project</li> <li>• Presenting a Quarterly Report to the Regional Transportation Committee detailing recommendations for the project.</li> </ul> <p>Miami-Dade Transit shall provide adequate staff and support services to the CBAC to carry out its duties and responsibilities.</p>	
3(A)	Award recommendation for non-exclusive General Aeronautical Services Permits (GASPs)	<p>These permits allow a company to contract with the airlines and provide an array of services outlined in the Manager's memo.</p> <p>This item recommends award of General Aeronautical Services permits to five companies that currently hold such permits due to expire on</p>	<p>Two of the five firms are current holders of temporary permits: Penaulie Serviceair, and Triangle Services of Florida, Inc. (R-1080-05)</p> <p><b>GASPs Gross Revenues for the years 1997 through 2003</b></p>	GC

Office of the Commission Auditor



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
	RFP No. MDAD-07-05	<p>October 31, 2006.</p> <p>There have been five permits operating since 1992, which were then extended until 2002. Since then these permits have been extended annually for 12- month periods.</p> <p>MAG 7%</p> <p>Local Business Development (LBD) goal is 15%. All firms meet or exceed the LBD goal.</p>	<p><b>amounted to \$423.6 million.</b></p> <p>The County Attorney's Office Responsiveness opinion mentions that <i>"apart from the issues presented by the required equipment listed by Triangle Services of Florida, Inc. (Triangle), it is my opinion that the other omissions you described can be cured."</i> (page24)</p> <p><b>Triangle is currently a "temporary" permit holder. Does it not currently have the required equipment to be operating under a General Aeronautical Services permit?</b></p>	
3(B)	Award recommendation for Offset Printing Services Contract	<p>Countywide Printing, Inc., and the County's GSA Print Shop were the only two entities that responded.</p> <p>The term of the contract with Countywide Printing, Inc., is three years.</p> <p>The MOU between MDAD and GSA is for one year.</p>	<p><b>Are the services provided by countywide and the GSA Print Shop comparable?</b></p> <p><b>How much was spent under the previous contract?</b></p> <p>The amount authorized under this contract is approximately \$1.5 million.</p>	GC
3(C)	Other Transaction Agreement (OTA) with Transportation Security Administration (TSA)	<p>TSA offers a one-year agreement providing MDAD \$2.7 million to enhance the closed circuit television system at MIA.</p> <p>TSA to provide full funding for project.</p>		GC
3(D) 3(E) 3(F)	<p>RFP for Retail Concession Program</p> <p>RFP No. MDAD-05-05</p> <p>Packages 1-7</p>	<p>At the direction of the Board, the retail concession RFP provided greater opportunity to local and/or small business.</p> <p>Firms were able to propose as prime concessionaire, developer or direct lessee (developer and concessionaire subtenant's percentage fee is three percent lower than direct lessee).</p>	<p><b>Package #1 -- 3(D)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Faber, Coe &amp; Gregg of Florida, Inc., operating as Faber MIA LLC</li> <li>Ten locations: Newstands, News &amp; Gifts, News Café, Consumer Electronics, Fashion Apparel &amp; 10 Minute Manicure and others.</li> <li><b>ACDBE only 21%</b> -- firm deemed to have made "good faith" efforts as provided in hearing officer's report (pg. 25). This report</li> </ul>	GC

Office of the Commission Auditor



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
3(G) 3(H) 3(I) 3(J)		<p>Technical evaluation included assessment of point based on local themes and local ownership.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Lease and Concession Agreement, Prime Concessionaires, Developers, and/or Direct Lessees will pay a MAG which includes rent, or percentage of gross revenues, whichever is greater.</p> <p>Prime Concessionaires, Developers, and/or Direct Lessees are required to have in place a MAG and Rent Performance Bond equal to 75% of the MAG and rents for current year of operation.</p> <p>Prime Concessionaires, Developers, and/or Direct Lessees are required to provide an irrevocable letter of credit or cash as Payment Security in an amount equal to three times the minimum monthly guarantee plus rental and applicable taxes.</p> <p>Airport Concession Disadvantage Business Enterprise (ACDBE) goal is 30%.</p>	<p>was issued on April 20, 2006; <b>is the firm required to increase its ACDBE contract measure to 30%? If so by when?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MAG is \$1 million.</li> </ul> <p><b>Package #2 – 3(E)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Host International, Inc.</li> <li>Ten locations: Newstands, News &amp; Gifts, News Café, Art Local Craft, Candy, and others.</li> <li>ACBDE contract measure is 49.2%.</li> <li>MAG is \$1.012 million.</li> </ul> <p><b>Package #3 – 3(F)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Navarro at MIA, Inc.</li> <li>One location: Sundries</li> <li>ACDBE contract measure N/A (optional for pkgs. 3-6).</li> <li>MAG is \$120,000.</li> </ul> <p><b>Package #4 – 3(G)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borders, Inc.</li> <li>One location: Books/Café</li> <li>ACBDE contract measure is 35%.</li> <li>MAG is \$192,002.25.</li> </ul> <p><b>Package #5 – 3(H)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Air Sun J.V.</li> <li>One location: Fashion watches/jewelry.</li> <li>ACBDE contract measure is 30%</li> <li>MAG is \$53,186.38</li> </ul> <p><b>Package #6 – 3(I)</b></p>	

Office of the Commission Auditor



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brookstone Stores, Inc.</li> <li>• One location: Entertainment &amp; Consumer Electronics</li> <li>• ACDBE contract measure N/A (optional for pkgs. 3-6).</li> <li>• MAG is \$150,000</li> </ul> <p><b>Package #7 – 3(J)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Miami Int'l Airport Pharmacy, Inc.</li> <li>• One location: Regional Apparel</li> <li>• ACDBE contract measure – firm participated as ACDBE</li> <li>• MAG is \$155,523.</li> </ul>	
3(K)	Award recommendation – Miscellaneous Construction Contract	<p>Four year contract award recommendation to Mike Gomez Construction Consulting, Inc., to subcontract miscellaneous construction projects ranging from \$10,000 to \$2 million.</p> <p>Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) participation is emphasized in Miscellaneous Construction Contracts.</p> <p>Contract amount: \$50.125 million</p>	<p><b>There is an alternate process, OCT's Equitable Distribution Program that MDAD could use to handle some of these projects. However, projects requiring completion under a limited amount of time or with certain licensing requirements may necessitate the continuous use of Miscellaneous Construction Contracts.</b></p>	GC
3(L)	Approving the use of PTP Surtax funds for purchase orders associated with the operations and maintenance of MDT for the month of May 2006	<p>Miami-Dade Transit utilizes a department-wide allocation formula to appropriate funds towards expenditures that may come from different revenue sources.</p> <p>This allocation formula current estimates that 29% of all cost associated with the Department can be attributed to the passage of the PTP and the associated expansion of transit services.</p> <p>The Board of County Commissioners has previously approved the use of PTP Surtax funds through this allocation model.</p>	<p>MDT contends that, due to the PTP, the total mileage served by the department has increase by approximately 29%. In turn, the use of a cost per mile allocation attributes 29% of the expenditures listed in this item to the PTP Surtax.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total amount allocated to the PTP Surtax for these items: \$814.32</li> </ul>	TG

Office of the Commission Auditor



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
3(M)	Declaring County owned land surplus and authorizing the sale of property to Brickell First, LLC.	<p>The County was granted this property in 1980 from the City of Miami as an easement for the Metrorail Corridor in the area of the Brickell Station.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The remaining “vacant” parcels are not buildable as individual parcels.</li> <li>The parcels are zoned as Residential High density or Office.</li> </ul>	<p>Brickell First, LLC. Would like to combine the three (3) County owned parcel with their own parcels, plat the property, and build on the land.</p> <p>Miami-Dade Transit would gain an estimated \$300,000 from the sale of this property.</p>	TG
3(N)	Fare agreements with various Community Based Organizations for the Coordination of Transportation Disadvantaged Services	<p>Miami-Dade County, through MDT, acts as the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) for the County’s Transportation Disadvantaged Services Program.</p> <p>Through the Florida Department of Transportation’s 5310 Grant Program vehicles are awarded to organizations which provide transportation services to disadvantaged persons.</p> <p>In accordance with Section 427.013 (21), of the Florida Statutes, these organizations must execute a written Fare Coordination agreement with the local CTC.</p> <p>This is designed to ensure that the services are provided in the most cost effective manner.</p>	<p>The funding for this program is divided as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>80% - Federal</li> <li>10% - State</li> <li>10% Local</li> </ul> <p>The program is administered by the State of Florida and Coordinated locally by Miami-Dade County.</p>	TG
3(O)	Declaring acquisition of land required for the construction of NW 74 <sup>th</sup> Street to be a public necessity and authorizing the County Manager to take appropriate actions to acquire such property temporarily for construction easements	<p><b>District 12</b></p> <p>The widening project of NW 74<sup>th</sup> Street from the Palmetto Expressway to the Florida Turnpike is included in the Peoples’ Transportation Plan (PTP) was expedited by a vote of the Miami-Dade County MPO.</p> <p>This project consists of widening NW 74<sup>th</sup> Street from 4 to 6 lanes from the Palmetto Expressway to the Florida Turnpike.</p>	<p>This portion of the project is between NW 87<sup>th</sup> Ave. and NW 97<sup>th</sup> Ave.</p> <p>These subject properties are needed by the Public Works Department, “Temporarily” for three (3) years to facilitate construction of the roadway.</p> <p>Funding for acquisition of these properties will come from the</p>	TG

Office of the Commission Auditor



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
			Peoples' Transportation Plan ½ cent Surtax, and are included in the overall project budget of \$58.4 million.	
3(P)	Award Recommendation to <b>Miami Striping &amp; Signs, Inc.</b> for a PTP Pavement Striping contract (No. 7040-0/07)	<p><b>Districts 10 &amp; 11</b></p> <p>This contract is administered through the County's Office of Capital Improvements (OCI).</p> <p>Vendors are selected from a pre-qualified pool of Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) contractors.</p>	<p>This project consists of road markings along SW 97<sup>th</sup> Avenue from SW 56<sup>th</sup> Street to SW 72<sup>nd</sup> Street and along SW 124<sup>th</sup> Court from SW 10<sup>th</sup> Street to SW 122<sup>nd</sup> Avenue.</p> <p>The estimated contract cost is \$204,600 from the PTP Surtax.</p> <p>In 2004, the BCC approved the use of Surtax funds for Pavement Markings, Signage, and associated traffic enhancements.</p>	TG
3(Q)	Award Recommendation to <b>Under Power Corp., Inc.</b> for a PTP Streetlight construction contract (No. 7360-0/08)	<p><b>Districts 11 &amp; 12</b></p> <p>This award is for 2 Street lighting construction projects located at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SW 137<sup>th</sup> Ave from SW 8<sup>th</sup> Street to SW 26<sup>th</sup> Street</li> <li>• SW 122<sup>nd</sup> Ave from SW 8<sup>th</sup> Street to NW 6<sup>th</sup> Street</li> </ul>	<p>Four companies picked up contract documents.</p> <p><b>One (1) firm proffered a bid.</b></p> <p>Estimated Contract Amount: \$1,054,825 from the PTP Surtax</p>	TG
3(R)	Award Recommendation to <b>Miami Striping &amp; Signs, Inc.</b> for a PTP Pavement Striping contract (No. 7040-0/07)	<p><b>Districts 3 &amp; 5</b></p> <p>This contract is administered through the County's Office of Capital Improvements (OCI).</p> <p>Vendors are selected from a pre-qualified pool of Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) contractors.</p>	<p>This project consists of road markings along NW 5 &amp; 6<sup>th</sup> Street from NW 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue to North Miami Avenue and on NW 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue from 95<sup>th</sup> Street to NW 125<sup>th</sup> Street.</p> <p>The estimated contract cost is \$204,600 from the PTP Surtax.</p> <p>In 2004, the BCC approved the use of Surtax funds for Pavement Markings, Signage, and associated traffic enhancements.</p>	TG
3(S)	Declaring acquisition of land required for the construction of NW 74 <sup>th</sup> Street to be a public	<p><b>District 12</b></p> <p>The widening project of NW 74<sup>th</sup> Street from the Palmetto Expressway</p>	This portion of the project is between NW 97 <sup>th</sup> Ave. and NW 107 <sup>th</sup> Ave.	TG

Office of the Commission Auditor





**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
	necessity and authorizing the County Manager to take appropriate actions to acquire such property temporarily for construction easements	to the Florida Turnpike is included in the Peoples' Transportation Plan (PTP) was expedited by a vote of the Miami-Dade County MPO.  This project consists of widening NW 74 <sup>th</sup> Street from 4 to 6 lanes from the Palmetto Expressway to the Florida Turnpike.	These subject properties are needed by the Public Works Department, "Temporarily" for three (3) years to facilitate construction of the roadway.  Funding for acquisition of these properties will come from the Peoples' Transportation Plan ½ cent Surtax, and are included in the overall project budget of \$58.4 million.	
3(T)	Award recommendation with <b>Magesco, Inc.</b> , for Traffic Signal Loop replacement project (No. 20060127)	<b>Districts 2, 7, 9, 11, &amp; 13</b>  Replacement of Traffic Signal Loop detectors at pre-identified intersections throughout Miami-Dade County.	Traffic Signal enhancements were included in Exhibit 1 of the Peoples' Transportation Plan. Major Highway and Road Improvements Section.  The estimated contract cost is \$204,600 from the PTP Surtax.	TG
3(U)	Award recommendation with <b>Metro Express, Inc.</b> , for PTP Sidewalk replacement project (No. 2006015)	<b>District 7</b>  Sidewalk Construction has been approved through the Neighborhood Improvements section of the Peoples' Transportation Plan.	<u>Locations:</u>  SW 52 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue from SW 72 <sup>nd</sup> Street to SW 78 <sup>th</sup> Street. SW 72 <sup>nd</sup> Street from SW 75 <sup>th</sup> Avenue to SW 67 <sup>th</sup> Avenue  Six companies picked up contract documents. <b>Two (2) firms proffered bids.</b>  <u>The Review Committee determined that there was a lack of availability among CSBE firms for this scope of work.</u>  Estimated Contract Amount: \$950,000 from the PTP Surtax	TG
3(V)	Award recommendation with <b>Marvelous Electric Inc.</b> , for Traffic Signal Installation	<b>District 11</b>  Construction of "Mast Arm" Traffic Signals, Loop detectors, Overhead	<u>Location:</u>  SW 157 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and SW 96 <sup>th</sup> Street	TG

Office of the Commission Auditor



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
	project (No. 20060047)	Signs, and Pedestrian Signage at a pre-identified intersection.	<p>Traffic Signal enhancements were included in Exhibit 1 of the Peoples' Transportation Plan. Major Highway and Road Improvements Section.</p> <p>Four companies picked up contract documents.</p> <p><b>One (1) firm proffered a bid.</b></p> <p>The estimated contract cost is \$126,488 from the PTP Surtax.</p>	
3(W)	Approval of <b>Retroactive Change Order</b> to Parsons-Odebrecht J.V. (POJV)	<p>This <b>Retroactive Change Order</b> adds \$56.4 million to the South Terminal Program as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Settlement of \$62.2 million claim for <b>\$35 million</b></li> <li>• Create Allowance Account #12 <b>\$21.4 million</b></li> <li>• Extends Phase I completion date to February 19, 2007, (original completion date was March 20, 2006) and Phase II to November 23, 2008.</li> <li>• Original Contract amount \$658.7 million</li> <li>• Adjusted contract amount \$791.5 million</li> </ul>	<p>The Manager's memo states that POJV presented three different options to complete this project "<i>with three different date options for three different dollar amounts.</i>" (Page 1)</p> <p><b>What were the other options?</b></p> <p><b>What is the result of the DBD review?</b></p>	GC
3(X)	Change Order No. 2 with Sumitomo Corporation of America (SCOA)	<p>Resolution R-395-06 adopted by the Board earlier this year approved Change Order No. 1 which created a general allowance account in the amount of <b>\$5.298 million which is now being increased, by this Change Order No. 2, to \$22.5 million.</b></p> <p><b>This Change Order extends completion date to October 31, 2009 (1,095 days).</b></p> <p>SCOA claimed adverse cost impacts due to delays in the amount of \$23</p>	<p>Because extensive delays of the North Terminal Development, the County could not take delivery of the cars for the Automated People Mover System for another two years. These cars require periodic starting and maintenance.</p>	GC

Office of the Commission Auditor



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes  
July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
		<p>million. The County could settle this claim in the amount of \$7.6 million.</p> <p>This contract was assigned to the County from American Airlines. This Change Order conforms this contract to other MDAD and County contracts.</p>		
7(A) – 7(H)	<b>Oral reports by the County Manager</b>			
7(I)	Report on North Corridor Metrorail project	<p><b>Districts 1, 2, &amp; 3</b></p> <p>The North Corridor Project is a proposed 9.5 mile Heavy Rail (Metrorail) transit project starting at the current 27<sup>th</sup> Avenue Metrorail Station and terminating at the Broward County line.</p> <p>Parsons Transportation Group was awarded \$13 million to finalize the environmental impact statement necessary to submit a Final Environmental Impact Survey (FEIS) to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). <b>This phase of the project is referred to as Preliminary Engineering (PE)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generally, PE should take the project up to the 30% design phase.</li> </ul> <p>In the 2006 FTA “New Starts Projects Report” the North Corridor Preliminary Engineering received a “Medium” (or favorable rating) from the FTA based on the Projected Ridership vs. Projected Cost of the project.</p>	<p>The next step for the project is to complete the Final Design of the Locally Preferred Alternative and re-submit the update project specifications to the FTA, including an update estimate of the project cost.</p> <p><u>Changes in Estimates for the North Corridor Project:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exhibit 1 of the PTP 2002 - \$555 million.</li> <li>Pro Forma Update January 2005 - \$843 million</li> <li>Pro Forma Update January 2006 - \$914.7 million</li> <li><b>Current Estimates July 2006 - \$1.2 billion</b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>If the cost of the project has increased since by 30% since the last submission to the FTA and the ridership estimates have not increase by the same amount, will this affect the possible rating for Final Design of the project?</u></b></p>	TG

Office of the Commission Auditor



**RTC Legislative Analysis & Notes**  
**July 13, 2006**

Item No.	Subject Matter	Background	Analysis / Comments / Questions	LA
7(J)	Monthly Progress Report from Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) and the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) for July 2006	The Regional Transportation Committee (RTC) has requested that MDT and MDAD present monthly progress reports to the committee in order to be updated on the PTP and CIP projects.	<u>MDT Issues</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PTP Update</li> <li>• Image Improvement Measures</li> <li>• Improving System Reliability</li> <li>• Improving Customer Service</li> <li>• Delivery of Bus Rapid Transit “Hybrid Buses” by July 16, 2006</li> <li>• Listing of Major Public Works Department’s PTP Projects</li> </ul> <u>MDAD Issues</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maximize General Aviation Activities and Development</li> <li>• Improving MIA’s Competitiveness &amp; Customer Service</li> <li>• Completion of Capital Improvement Program</li> <li>• Making MDAD airports environmentally friendly</li> </ul>	TG
7(K)	Report re: Customer Service at MIA	Report related to options to provide a seamless experience (from curbside to plane) for passengers requiring wheelchair assistance.	MDAD to meet with General Aviation Services providers to come up with alternatives.	GC
7(L)	Dade Aviation Consultants	The Board has repeatedly inquired about MDAD’s use and need for consultants.	The report attempts to address the Board’s questions regarding services provided by DAC, accomplishments, and staffing levels among other items.	GC

